IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant:

MITANI, et al.

Examiner:

A.M. Bertagna

Serial No.:

10/532975

Group Art Unit:

1637

Filed:

April 28, 2007

Docket:

20078.0005USWO

Title:

PROCESS FOR AMPLIFYING NUCLEIC ACIDS

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that this paper is being transmitted by EFS Web to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA

22313-1450 on March 3, 2010.

Name: Peggy Kerkhove

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Mail Stop Amendment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

With regard to the above-identified application, the items of information listed on the enclosed Form SB08 are brought to the attention of the Examiner. Copies of any foreign patent documents or "Other Documents" are enclosed.

A concise explanation of the relevance of each non-English language document or other information is a follows (37 C.F.R. §(a)(3)):

WO 97/00330 corresponds to JP 11-509406. A concise explanation of the Taiwanese Office Action is enclosed. A full English translation of the Trial Decision (NPL4) is enclosed.

The following is a concise explanation of the enclosed Prosecution documents (NPL5 & NPL6). Exhibit No. 1 and Exhibit No. 3 mentioned below are omitted because they are the same as the related documents or were evidential documents at the time of the trial for invalidation and have been already submitted

As in the case of Mukou (Invalidation) 2008-800091, which is the original trial, the demandant argues that the present invention is obvious on the ground of the combination of Demandant's Exhibit No. 1 (JP2000-37194A, which is a Japanese publication corresponding to EP097 1039A2 (Rabbani) of ENZO DIAGNOSTICS, INC.) and Exhibit No. 3 (JP 3313358 B). However, Exhibit No. 3 is a document relating to the LAMP method similar to Nucleic Acid Research 2000; 28(12):e63 (Notomi), and Molecular and Cellular Probes (June 2002) 16(3):223-229 (Nagamine). It is clear that the mechanism for the formation of the intermediate is different between Rabbani and JP 3313358 B (Demandant's Exhibit No. 3), and that efficient amplification by improving Rabbani's intermediate formation reaction could not have been expected from

combining Rabbani with JP 3313358 B.

The demandant, in the Mukou (Invalidation) 2008-800091 (invalidation trial case of JP 3867926), argues that the present invention is obvious on the ground of newly cited Demandant's Exhibit Nos. 9 to 11. However, since Demandant's Exhibit Nos. 9 to 11 are documents relating to the LAMP method, the present invention is not obvious. The summary of the argument by the demandant and the refutation by the demandees (applicants of the present application) are as follows:

Argument by Demandant

Primers satisfying the condition of the mathematical formula of the present invention are described in Demandant's Exhibit Nos. 9 to 11 (LAMP method).

In addition, an example of an amplification reaction in the absence of Outer Primer (OP-) is described in Example 4 of Demandant's Exhibit No. 9.

Since amplification is observed even in the absence of Outer Primer (OP-), it is easy to apply Demandant's Exhibit No. 3 (LAMP method) to Demandant's Exhibit No. 1 (ENZO method).

Refutation by Demandees

Demandant's Exhibit Nos. 9 to 11 are all relating to the LAMP method (Outer Primer is indispensable).

Example 4 of Demandant's Exhibit No. 9 is also an example showing necessity of Outer Primer

Awareness of a person skilled in the art

- When a person skilled in the art reads the whole text and Example 4 of Demandant's Exhibit No. 9, he or she realizes necessity of Outer Primer and does not willingly apply Exhibit No. 3 (LAMP method) to Demandant's Exhibit No. 1 (ENZO method).
- Further, credibility of Example 4 is questionable and it is inappropriate for the evidence.

(CHI	In accordance with the provisions of 37 C.F.R. §1.97, this statement is being filed ECK ONE):
	 within three (3) months of the Filing Date, before the mailing date of a First Office Action on the merits, or before the mailing date of a First Office Action on the merits after the filing of a request for continued examination under 37 C.F.R. §1.114; or
\boxtimes	(2) after the period defined in (1) but before the mailing date of a Final Rejection or Notice of Allowance, and

	the	e requisite Statement is b	elow, OR		
	\textstyle the	e requisite fee of \$180.00	under Rule 1.17(p) is incl	luded herein, or	
	(3) or	before the payment of	e of a Final Rejection or Nothe Issue Fee, AND the reof \$180.00 under Rule 1.1	quisite Statement is below	
		\$	STATEMENT		
	Appl	icants hereby state that:			
		Statement was first cit in a counterpart applic	tion contained in the Informated in a communication from the USPTO in the prior to the filing date o	om a foreign patent office a related application not	
	If this	s box is checked, Applica	ant provides the following:		
		Certification	Under 37 C.F.R. §1.704(<u>(d)</u>	
each was	foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and that this communication				
	of each		ed of the following co-pen publication (if published) o		
		Application No.	Filing Date	<u>Group</u>	

No representation is made that a reference is "prior art" within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. §§ 102 and 103 and Applicants reserve the right, pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.131 or otherwise, to establish that the reference(s) are not "prior art." Moreover, Applicants do not represent that a reference has been thoroughly reviewed or that any relevance of any portion of a reference is intended.

Consideration of the items listed is respectfully requested. Pursuant to the provisions of M.P.E.P. 609, it is requested that the Examiner return a copy of the attached Form SB08, marked as being considered and initialed by the Examiner, to the undersigned with the next official communication.

FEE AUTHORIZATION

Please charge any required fee or credit overpayment to Deposit Account No. 50-3478.

Respectfully submitted,

HAMRE, SCHUMANN, MUELLER & LARSON, P.C. P.O. Box 2902 Minneapolis, MN 55402-0902 (612) 455-3800

Dated: March 3, 2010

Douglas P. Mueller Reg. No. 30,300

DPM/pjk